The Taxation of Contractors Under the 2015 Amendment to Arizona’s Sales Tax Reform Laws

This special edition state and local tax (SALT) column summarizes when construction contractors are required to pay transaction privilege (sales) tax on the construction materials they purchase, and when they are required to pay prime contracting tax on 65 percent of their gross receipts instead, under the 2015 amendment to Arizona’s sales tax reform laws.

Background – Arizona’s Unusual Method of Taxing Contractors Through 2014

Rather than pay sales tax on construction materials like contractors do in most states, until January 1, 2015, all construction contractors in Arizona were entitled to purchase building materials tax free because they or the prime contractor they worked for were subject to Arizona’s prime contracting sales tax on 65 percent of their gross receipts instead.

Arizona’s 2013 and 2014 Sales Tax Reform Efforts

During the 2013 legislative session, Arizona’s governor encouraged its legislature to simplify the taxation of contractors in Arizona by repealing Arizona’s prime contracting tax and imposing sales tax on the building materials contractors purchase instead, just like most other states do.

However, Arizona municipalities successfully lobbied against this proposal because they were concerned about the fiscal impact of the proposal (prime contracting taxes are paid to the city where the retailer is located). So, instead of eliminating Arizona’s prime contracting tax, Arizona’s legislature passed House Bill 2111 in 2013, which resulted in a bifurcated system for contractors with tax due on materials for some projects and prime contracting tax due on other projects.

HB 2111 was scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2015 but, in 2014, because of problems identified with HB 2111 related to the taxation of contractors, the Arizona Legislature repealed the provisions directly related to the taxation of contractors and replaced them with other provisions in HB 2389.

Major Changes, and a Major Amendment to the Changes, Went Into Effect in 2015

Beginning January 1, 2015, pursuant to HB 2389, for some types of projects, contractors were required to pay tax on building materials when they purchase them instead of paying tax on 65 percent of their gross receipts. But, for other types of projects, contractors were supposed to continue purchasing building materials tax free because they or the prime contractor they work for is subject to Arizona’s prime contracting tax instead.

Unfortunately, HB 2389 did not resolve all of the questions and concerns the construction industry identified regarding the portions of Arizona’s sales tax reforms that apply directly to the industry, and many contractors were not even aware of the changes. Thus, while major changes regarding the taxation of contractors in Arizona went into effect on January 1, 2015, many contractors and material suppliers were unaware of the changes and there was widespread misunderstanding regarding how to apply the new rules among those who were aware of the changes.

Accordingly, in one of the first bills to make it through Arizona’s legislature in 2015, the legislature amended the sales tax reforms aimed at contractors for the third time in three years. As an “emergency measure,” SB 1446 went into effect when Arizona’s new governor, Governor Doug Ducey, signed it on February 24, 2015. And, because SB 1446 has a retroactivity clause, it is retroactive to January 1, 2015.
Rather than focus on the iterative changes made by Arizona’s three sales tax reform bills, this article summarizes Arizona’s laws relating to the taxation of contractors as of January 1, 2015 pursuant to SB 1446. To limit the size of this document, this summary is not intended to be comprehensive. Interested parties should carefully review the bill, or contact a state and local tax professional for assistance.

Construction Materials Are Now Subject to Tax When Used in MRRA Projects

Contractors are subject to tax on tangible personal property incorporated or fabricated into projects in Arizona involving the “maintenance,” “repair,” “replacement,” or “alteration” of real property or existing real property improvements, provided that their contract does not involve more than a “de minimis” amount of “modification” activity. Proceeds from such projects, commonly referred to as “MRRA” projects, are not subject to prime contracting tax.

Except as described below in the section related to change orders, for purposes of determining whether a project is taxable as a MRRA project or a prime contracting project, each contract is evaluated independently. So, a contractor may be responsible for paying tax on materials for MRRA projects that it is working on and, at the same time, be responsible for tax as a prime contractor for other projects it is working on.

The “De Minimis” Test

The legislature did not define “de minimis” but, according to guidance ADOR released before SB 1446 amended Arizona law, “a modification will be considered ‘de minimis’ if the amount attributable to the modification is less than 15 percent of receipts from the total contract.” Proceeds from modification activities, as that term is defined below, are what trigger the prime contracting tax on contractors, or the prime contractor for whom they work. So, under the de minimis test, proceeds from projects that involve MRRA activities are subject to prime contracting tax if 15 percent or more of the proceeds from the project are for modification activities.

Terminology Used Herein

The term “contractor” is used generally in this article to refer to persons or companies who are engaged to perform construction activities involving real property, without regard to whether they are licensed by the Registrar of Contractors to perform such services or required to report sales tax as a prime contractor.

However, the term “prime contractor” refers specifically to persons or companies that should be licensed by one or more of Arizona’s taxing authorities to report sales tax on projects that are subject to Arizona’s state and local prime contracting taxes.

MRRA Definitions

The legislature did not define “maintenance” but, according to guidance ADOR released before SB 1446 amended Arizona law maintenance is “the upkeep of property or equipment. Examples of maintenance include: an annual system checkup that includes topping off any fluids, restaining a wood deck, and refinishing hardwood floors.” Likewise, the legislature did not define “repair” but, according to guidance ADOR released before SB 1446 amended Arizona law, “‘repair’ is an activity that returns real property to a usable state from a partial or total state of inoperability or nonfunctionality. Examples of repairs include: recharging partially or totally nonfunctional air conditioning units with refrigerant, clearing partially or completely blocked pipes of debris, readjusting satellite dishes to restore reception, and replacing worn washers in leaky or totally inoperable faucets.” The legislature defined “replacement” in SB 1446 as “the removal of one component or system of existing property or tangible personal property installed in existing property, including machinery or equipment, and the installation of a new component or system or new tangible personal property, including machinery or equipment, that provides the same or an upgraded design or functionality, regardless of the contract amount.”

The legislature defined “alteration” as “an activity or action that causes a direct physical change to existing property,” but imposed limitations on the size of projects that qualify as “alteration” projects. Proceeds from projects that exceed the scope of these limitations are taxed as prime contracting projects rather than as MRRA projects.

But, the legislature specifically provided that “alteration” activities do not include “maintenance, repair or replacement” activities.

The Limit on the Size of Residential Projects that Qualify as “Alteration” Projects

Projects involving properties classified as residential properties for property tax purposes, including some properties owned by certain types of non-profit companies, that exceed 25 percent of the most recent full cash value of the property established by the county assessor as of the date of the bid or the date of the contract are not “alteration” projects and must be taxed as prime contracting projects rather than as MRRA projects.

Contractors are prohibited from “artificially” separating projects into multiple parts to avoid treating them as prime contracting projects. But, there is a safe harbor for projects that the owner and contractor reasonably believed would be alteration projects at the inception of the contract if they do not exceed the 25 percent test by more than 25 percent (for a total of 31.25 percent of the full cash value of the property).

The Limits on the Size of Other Projects that Qualify as “Alteration” Projects

Projects involving other types of properties are not “alteration” projects and must be taxed as prime contracting projects rather than as MRRA projects if: (1) the contract is for more than
cancel their prime contracting sales tax license. See “Taxation of Inventory Purchased Tax Free by a Contractor Before the Contractor Cancelled its Sales Tax License” below.

Only Amounts Paid Directly to the Taxing Authority for MRRA Projects Offset Prime Contracting Tax Liabilities

As explained above, contractors may either pay sales tax to their Arizona vendors at the time of purchase, or pay an amount equal to tax directly to Arizona taxing authorities for materials used in MRRA projects.

However, only amounts paid directly to Arizona taxing authorities may be used to offset a prime contracting tax liability in situations where the taxing authority later determines that the project should have been taxed as a prime contracting project instead of as a MRRA project.

Accordingly, ADOR is planning to modify Arizona Form 5005 so that contractors responsible for an MRRA project can give one to any subcontractors on the project to direct them not to pay tax on materials when they purchase them because the contractor responsible for the MRRA project will pay an amount equal to the tax directly to Arizona taxing authorities for all materials used in the MRRA project instead.

The Exception for Certain Publicly Funded Road Projects

The analysis described above related to MRRA projects does not apply to certain projects primarily involving surface or subsurface improvements to land (like roads) that are subject to certain public procurement rules. Rather, such publicly funded, road-related projects are specifically taxable as prime contracting projects in the manner outlined below.

But, not all publicly funded road projects are taxable as prime contracting projects, and not all privately funded road projects are taxable as MRRA projects.

Rather, most ADOR road projects are taxable as prime contracting projects, and most projects for special taxing districts, and all projects for private parties, are subject to the MRRA analysis to determine whether they are taxable as MRRA projects or as prime contracting projects.

When Contractors Are Required to Pay Prime Contracting Tax Instead of Paying Tax on Construction Materials

When 15 percent or more of a contractor’s proceeds from a particular project are for “modification” activities rather than for MRRA activities, the prime contractor’s proceeds from that project are subject to prime contracting tax, and the contractors working on such projects may purchase tangible personal property to be incorporated or fabricated into that project tax free.

Prime contracting tax applies to 65 percent of prime contractors’ gross receipts, after allowable exemptions and deductions, at the tax rate in effect where the construction activities are performed.

“Prime contractor” is still defined as “a contractor who supervises, performs or coordinates the modification of any building, highway, road, railroad, excavation, manufactured building or other structure, project, development or improvement including the contracting, if any, with any subcontractors or specialty contractors and who is responsible for the completion of the contract.”

Subcontractors on prime contracting projects who can prove that they were working for a prime contractor on a particular job, and that the prime contractor was liable for tax on the proceeds from which the subcontractor was paid, are not subject to prime contracting tax on that job. The best way for a subcontractor to prove that it is not subject to prime contracting tax on a particular job is to obtain a fully completed copy of Arizona Form 5005 from the prime contractor for the job.

The New Definition of “Modification”

The legislature amended the definition of “modification” so it now means “construction, grading and leveling ground, wreckage or demolition.”
the legislature specifically provided that modification does not include any: (1) MRRA project, (2) wreckage or demolition of existing property, or any other activity that is a necessary component of a MRRA project, and (3) mobilization or demobilization related to a MRRA project, such as the erection or removal of temporary facilities to be used by contractors working on the project.

**How Change Orders Are Taxed**

While contractors normally have to evaluate each contract independently to determine whether it is a MRRA project or a prime contracting project, special rules apply for change orders.

Any change order that directly relates to the scope of work of the original contract must be treated the same as the original contract, regardless of the amount of modification activities included in the change order.

However, for change orders that do not directly relate to the scope of work of the original contract, the change order is treated as a new contract and must be independently evaluated to determine whether it is a MRRA project or a prime contracting project.

The tax treatment of subsequent change orders follows the tax treatment of the contract or change order to which the scope of work of the subsequent change order directly relates. And, if a subsequent change order does not directly relate to the scope of work of an existing contract or change order, then it must be evaluated independently to determine whether it is a MRRA project or a prime contracting project.

The treatment of change orders outlined above does not alter or affect the treatment of change orders for other purposes, including the application of new tax rates to change orders.

**Exemptions for Qualifying Hospitals and Health Care Organizations, Native Americans, Manufacturers, Utilities, Telecommunication Companies, Mines, etc.**

Contractors may purchase and pass along the cost of tangible personal property without tax when it is incorporated or fabricated into a qualifying hospital or health care organization, whether they are engaged in a prime contracting project or a MRRA project. Qualifying hospitals and health care organizations must obtain letters from ADOR every year stating that they qualify for these exemptions, and contractors who work for them should keep a copy of such letters in their files.

Although ADOR does not issue annual letters to them, the same rules apply to projects located on Indian reservations for Indian tribes and Native Americans who are registered members of the tribe.

Contractors also may purchase and pass along the cost of qualifying machinery, equipment, and other tangible personal property without tax when it is incorporated or fabricated into a project for a manufacturer, processor, job printer, utility company, telecommunications company, mine, or other qualifying business, whether the contractor is engaged in a prime contracting project or a MRRA project.

To document the exemptions referred to in this section, contractors should obtain a copy of Arizona Form 5000 from their customer claiming the relevant exemption, give a copy to their vendors, and keep a copy for their files.

**Exemption Certificates for Building Materials**

Contractors and their subcontractors who are working on a project that is subject to prime contracting tax may purchase tangible personal property that will be incorporated or fabricated into real property as part of the project tax free.

**Prime contractors and their subcontractors who are working on a MRRA project also have the option of purchasing tangible personal property that will be incorporated or fabricated into a MRRA project tax free. However, as described above, ultimately such materials are subject to tax.**

Contractors, including subcontractors, who are licensed as prime contractors with ADOR for sales tax purposes should use Arizona Form 5000 to purchase building materials tax free from Arizona vendors.

Subcontractors who are not licensed as prime contractors for sales tax purposes are not able to purchase building materials tax free unless they get a copy of Arizona Form 5009L from the prime contractor they are working for, and such certificates are only good for particular projects and must be approved by ADOR before they are valid.

**Issues Related to Tax Licenses, Registrar of Contractor Licenses, and Building Permits**

Contractors who only work on MRRA projects, or who only work as subcontractors on prime contracting projects, are not required to retain their sales tax license if they already have one, or to obtain a sales tax license if they are just going into business. However, subcontractors without sales tax licenses who work for prime contractors on prime contracting jobs are not able to purchase building materials for such jobs tax free unless they get a copy of Arizona Form 5009L from the prime contractor they are working for on a particular project.

Arizona’s Registrar of Contractors may no longer require contractors to provide a sales tax license number when applying for or renewing a contractor’s license.

Cities, towns, and counties may not require an applicant for a building permit to hold a sales tax license or a business license as a condition for issuing the permit. But, cities and towns may require a contractor who has a building permit but does not have a business license from the city or town to apply for a business license within 30 days of issuing the permit.

**The Taxation of Inventory Purchased Tax Free by a Contractor Before the Contractor Cancelled its Sales Tax License**

Contractors who purchased tangible personal property tax free because it was to be incorporated into a taxable prime contracting project but subsequently cancel their sales tax license and use, consume, or sell the property are liable for tax on such items. The amount due must be reported on or before the business day preceding the last business day of the month following the month in
which the contractor uses the property in a taxable manner described below. Otherwise, penalties and interest may apply.

If such property is used in a MRRA project or otherwise used or consumed, unless an exemption, deduction, or exclusion applies, tax is due based on the purchase price of the property at the rate in effect where the property was used or consumed. But, if the contractor who hired a subcontractor with such property provided the subcontractor with a certificate stating that the contractor is liable for tax or other amounts due on such property, the subcontractor is not liable for tax or other amounts due on such property unless the subcontractor had reason to believe that the certificate was erroneous or incomplete. Rather, in such situations, the contractor who provided the certificate is liable for the tax or other amount due.

If such property is sold, the amount due is based on the amount of the payment received by the contractor at the rate in effect at the contractor's principal place of business in Arizona.

If such property is discarded and the contractor does not receive payment of any kind, no sales tax is due.

**Safe Harbors for Contracts Bid Before May 1, 2015**

For contracts or other binding obligations bid or entered into before May 1, 2015, contractors: (1) may, at their option, treat proceeds from the contract as a project that is taxable under the prime contracting classification, and (2) shall be held harmless from any additional tax, penalty, or interest if ADOR later determines that the contractor's good faith treatment of the project, either as a prime contracting project or a MRRA project, was incorrect.

**Special Rule Re: Taxation of Inventory of Contractors Who Cancel Their Sales Tax License Before May 1, 2015**

The following one-time special rules apply to contractors who cancel their sales tax license before May 1, 2015 and have an inventory of materials that they purchased tax free because they were intended to be incorporated into a construction project.

As long as such contractors had no intent to evade taxation, they may make a reasonable estimation of the value of their inventory on hand at the time they cancelled their license and, if the estimate of value is: (1) $10,000 or less, the contractor is not liable for any tax that otherwise would be due, (2) more than $10,000, then the first $10,000 is not subject to tax and the contractor may opt to report tax on the remainder either: (a) in the manner outlined in the previous section, (b) in a single payment based on the tax rate in effect at the contractor's principal place of business, or (c) in 12 equal monthly installments beginning immediately following the month in which the contractor's sales tax license is cancelled based on the tax rate in effect at the contractor's principal place of business.

**What's Next for Contractors in Arizona?**

Recognizing that they fell short of their goal to “simplify the administration of Arizona’s transaction privilege tax in order to alleviate taxpayer confusion, [and] relieve businesses from unnecessary compliance costs,” the 2015 Legislature stated that the purpose of SB 1446 is “to clarify and simplify the transaction privilege tax reform measures [previously enacted] until such time as the prime contracting classification can be repealed.

So, hopefully there will soon be enough votes in the legislature to repeal Arizona’s now-more-complicated-than-never method of taxing contractors and replace it with a pure tax on materials like most other states employ.
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